United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,773	09/12/2003	Kouichi Tada	100341-00046	5773
4372 ARENT FOX I	7590 08/22/200° PLLC	EXAMINER		
1050 CONNEC	CTICUT AVENUE, N.	TRAN, THANG V		
	SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAH DATE	DELIVERYMORE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/660,773	TADA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	_	Thang V. Tran	2627	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
VVHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DON'S IN THE MAILING DON'S IN THE MAILING DON'S IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In the priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ARANDONE.	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication.	
Status	·			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 July</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposit	on of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
5)	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/at Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/660,773

Art Unit: 2627

An amendment 06/01/07 has been entered and considered with the following results:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumon et al. (JP 2000322742A).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Kumon et al, according to Fig. 2 and an abstract, teaches an optical disk device (see Fig. 2), which is used for identifying a kind of an optical disk and operated depending upon the kind of the identified disk, comprising: a detector (207) for performing a step of detecting a wobble signal recorded on a recording surface of the disk; a determiner (208) for performing a step of determining a number of a cycle of the wobble signal detected by the detector by comparing the cycle of the wobble signal to a cycle of at least one reference signal (reference clock); and an identifier (209) for performing a step of identifying the kind of the disk based on the number of cycle of the wobble signal identified by the determiner (see abstract). However, Kumon et al fails to suggest the use of identifying whether the optical disk is DVD-RW or DVD+RW having the same track pitch by identifying the number of the cycle of the wobble is 186 times or 32 times data cycle. But, it is known in the optical data storage and/or retrieval art that a wobble formed by tracks on a DVD-RW has a cycle of 186 times longer than recording clock cycle and a wobble formed by tracks on a DVD+WR has a cycle of 32 times longer than recording clock cycle, and since the device of Kumon et al. identifies the type of disk by measuring/counting the cycle of the wobble, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in that at the time the invention was made to employ the disk device as taught by Kumon et al for also identify the

DVD-WR or DVD+WR having the same track pitch because the disk type identifier as taught by Kumon et al I relies on the measuring/counting cycle of wobble formed by the track of the optical disk to identify the type of disk. Again, since the DVD-RW and DVD+RW as recited in the instant claimed invention having the same track pitch, but different in wobble cycle, one having level skill in the art would immediately recognizes that either the DVD-RW or DVD+RW of the instant claimed invention can be easily identified by the disk type identifier as taught by Kumon et al by measuring/counting the wobble cycle formed on the disk.

Response to Arguments

- 3. In response to Applicant's arguments filed 6/01/07, Applicant should note that the invention is mainly directed to a method/apparatus including an identifying step or identifier for identifying the kind of disk is a DVD-RW or DVD+RW based on the determined cycle of the wobble formed on the disk. The identification of the type of disk has nothing do to the track pitch formed on the disk since it relies on the determined cycle of the wobble to identify the type of disk regardless whether the disk having the same track pitch or not. Like, applicant's invention, Kumno et al teaches the use of the identifier for identifying the type of disk based on the determination of the wobble cycle formed on the disk, but not the track pitch. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the would immediately recognize that the DVD-RW or the DVDR_RW, based in its wobble cycle, would be easily recognized by the identifier taught by Kumon et al since it is known in the art that wobble cycle of the DVD-RW and the DVD+RW is not the same regardless the track pitch formed on the disk is the same or difference. For these reasons, the 35 USC 103 rejection applied to claims 1 and 2 is maintained.
- 4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/660,773

Art Unit: 2627

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thang V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7595. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nguyen Hoa can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,773

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thang) Tran
Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 2627